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## Why Truck Priority?

$>$ Reduce the Cost of Goods Transportation
$>$ Reduce Red Light Running

- Encourage Trucks to use specific Truck Routes
$>$ Reduce Emission


## Objectives

> Deliverables:
o a prototype system demonstrating the concept,
o a system evaluation to determine potential full-scale system benefits.

## Outline

1. System for the detection and tracking of trucks using video sensors.
2. Evaluating different signal priority strategies using micro-simulation.

## Video Sensors

> Video sensors have distinct advantages:

- they are easy to install (or can be already installed),
o they are inexpensive,
o they can provide rich traffic description (e.g. road user tracking),
o they can cover large areas,
o they allow verification at any later stage.


## Detecting and Tracking Trucks
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## Learning to Identify Trucks

> Based on shape features extracted through background subtraction.


$$
m_{p, q}=\iint f(x, y) x^{p} y^{q} d x d y
$$

$f(x, y)=1$ if the pixel at $(x, y)$ is in the foreground 0 if the pixel at $(x, y)$ is in the background
$>$ Using machine learning to learn a binary classifier (truck vs. other road users).


## Experimental Results
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## Experimental Results

$>$ The recall for trucks reaches 78\% to 95\%, with a false alarm rate below the $0.5 \%$ value used for the system simulation.


## Simulation Model

## > Study Corridor

- Knight Street (King Edward - 57 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave)

Major Truck Route
3 Intersections ( 2 Two phased, 1 Four phased)
$>$ Simulation Software

- Vissim
- VisVap


## Network




## TSP Strategy

 O$>$ Green Extension
> Red Truncation

## Conventional System

$>$ No Prediction
$>$ Two Detectors
o Check-in: 50-100 m upstream of the intersection
o Check-out: immediately after the intersection

## Conventional System

> Shortcomings

- Do not count in the travel time from a check-in detector to the intersection.
Opportunities for Green Extension can be missed.
- A queue may extend beyond a check-in detector.
Do not call for red truncation sufficiently early to dissipate the queue.


## Truck Detection

$>$ Video Sensor
$>$ Detect trucks from 300 meters.
> Continuously track trucks.

- Simulated by normal detectors in 10 meter spacing.
> Consider the closest truck only.
- The next truck will be considered after the closest truck checks out.


## Detection Errors

$>$ Missed Truck

- $10 \%$ of trucks are assumed to be not classified as trucks.
$>$ False Detection
- 0.5\% of non-truck road users are assumed to be classified as trucks.


## Travel Time Prediction

$>$ Detect trucks from 300 meters ahead of an intersection and predict arrival time.

- Travel Time = Distance / Speed
> Continuously track trucks and update prediction.


## Green Extension

> Extend Green if a Truck will arrive within the Maximum Extension Limit.
> Cancel Green Extension if the truck will not arrive within the Limit according to Prediction Update
$>$ Terminate when the truck checks out.

## Red Truncation

$>$ Truncate red if a truck will arrive after the maximum green extension Limit.
$>$ Calculate queue dissipation time and start red truncation when required.


## Example

$>$ Intersection 7: Knight St. and 49th Ave.
> Signal Timing

- 80 sec cycle length, 2 phases (Ф1 Truck phase)


80
o Maximum Green Extension: 15 sec
o Maximum Red Truncation: 15 sec

## Example: Green Extension

| Sim | Cycle <br> Sec | Dist- <br> Sec | Travel <br> ance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Event

| 561 | 0 |  |  | Start of Green |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 588 | 27 | 290 | 19.0 | Truck detected. 9 seconds to normal green end <br> time. |
| 597 | 36 | 160 | 10.9 | Normal green end time. The truck is still 160 m <br> away. |
| 603 | 42 | 70 | 4.7 | Conventional system would detect the truck 6 <br> seconds after the normal green end time, only 5 <br> seconds before arrival time. |
| 608 | 47 | 0 | 0 | The truck checks out and green end. Green was <br> extended for 11 seconds. |







## Example: Red Truncation

| Sim | Cycle <br> Sec <br> Sec | Dist- <br> ance | Travel <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Event

| 677 | 36 |  |  | Start of Red |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 688 | 47 | 300 | 21.4 | Truck detected. 25 seconds to normal red end time. |
| 702 | 61 | 110 | 8.1 | Red truncated for 9 seconds. The truck is still 110 m <br> away. |
| 704 | 63 | 80 | 6.2 | Conventional system would detect the truck 2 <br> seconds after the time to truncate red, only 6 <br> seconds before arrival time. |
| 707 | 66 | 50 | 5.4 | Start of Green |
| 713 | 72 | 0 | 0 | The truck checks out after queue dissipation, 11 <br> seconds after red truncation. |





## Base Case Condition

- Three lanes per direction
- AM Peak hour 8-9AM
- Volume

NB 1,304-1,466 vph
SB 665-1,058 vph

- Truck Volume

NB 47-51 vph
SB 26-42 vph
o Priority Lock: One Cycle Length

## Travel Times

| Direction | Section | Distance (m) | The Average Travel Time (sec) |  |  | The Average Travel Time Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No TkSP | Conventional TkSP | Advanced TkSP | Conventional TkSP | Advanced TkSP |
| NB | 57th to 47th | 1,060 | 92.5 | 94.1 | 89.0 | 1.67\% | -3.81\% |
|  | 47th to 37th | 1,023 | 100.0 | 103.4 | 103.1 | 3.43\% | 3.15\% |
|  | 37th to 29th | 858 | 92.6 | 94.7 | 82.2 | 2.32\% | -11.20\% |
|  | Total | 2,941 | 285.1 | 292.2 | 274.4 | 2.50\% | -3.77\% |
| SB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29th to } \\ & \text { 37th } \end{aligned}$ | 858 | 71.9 | 68.3 | 67.8 | -5.00\% | -5.66\% |
|  | 37th to 47th | 1,023 | 78.7 | 83.2 | 85.2 | 5.66\% | 8.26\% |
|  | 47th to 57th | 1,060 | 108.3 | 108.3 | 110.2 | -0.04\% | 1.69\% |
|  | Total | 2,941 | 258.9 | 259.8 | 263.2 | 0.32\% | 1.65\% |

## Delay

| Intersection |  | Approach | Average Delays and Volumes |  |  |  |  |  | Delay Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No TkSP | Conventional TkSP |  | Advanced TkSP |  | Conventional TkSP | Advanced TkSP |
| No. | Streets |  | Delay(s) | Volume | Delay(s) | Volume |  |  | Delay(s) | Volume |
| 3 | Knight and E33rd |  | NB | 28.6 | 1,472 | 37.7 | 1,481 | 25.8 | 1,465 | 31.7\% | -9.6\% |
|  |  | SB | 10.5 | 709 | 9.0 | 710 | 9.4 | 710 | -14.4\% | -11.2\% |
|  |  | Knight St. | 11.4 | 2,181 | 14.2 | 2,190 | 10.2 | 2,175 | 24.9\% | -10.0\% |
|  |  | EB | 17.5 | 663 | 15.6 | 663 | 18.6 | 666 | -10.4\% | 6.6\% |
|  |  | WB | 20.2 | 991 | 17.7 | 991 | 21.2 | 992 | -12.1\% | 5.0\% |
|  |  | Cross Road | 9.5 | 1,655 | 8.4 | 1,653 | 10.1 | 1,658 | -11.5\% | 5.6\% |
|  |  | Total | 21.2 | 3,836 | 23.5 | 3,843 | 20.3 | 3,833 | 10.8\% | -3.9\% |
| 5 | Knight and E41st | NB | 27.9 | 1,595 | 32.3 | 1,597 | 30.3 | 1,584 | 15.7\% | 8.4\% |
|  |  | SB | 9.0 | 899 | 13.3 | 901 | 13.0 | 901 | 47.6\% | 44.0\% |
|  |  | Knight St. | 10.6 | 2,494 | 12.7 | 2,498 | 12.0 | 2,485 | 20.6\% | 13.7\% |
|  |  | EB | 23.1 | 1,029 | 21.8 | 1,028 | 23.5 | 1,030 | -5.4\% | 2.1\% |
|  |  | WB | 28.9 | 1,380 | 27.4 | 1,377 | 29.7 | 1,379 | -5.3\% | 2.6\% |
|  |  | Cross Road | 13.2 | 2,409 | 12.5 | 2,405 | 13.5 | 2,409 | -5.3\% | 2.4\% |
|  |  | Total | 23.7 | 4,903 | 25.2 | 4,904 | 25.5 | 4,894 | 6.3\% | 7.4\% |
| 7 | Knight and E49th | NB | 19.5 | 1,586 | 22.0 | 1,584 | 17.1 | 1,590 | 12.7\% | -12.5\% |
|  |  | SB | 11.5 | 1,090 | 11.4 | 1,087 | 10.6 | 1,102 | -1.1\% | -7.4\% |
|  |  | Knight St. | 8.1 | 2,676 | 8.8 | 2,671 | 7.2 | 2,692 | 8.8\% | -11.1\% |
|  |  | EB | 16.7 | 462 | 13.9 | 462 | 16.7 | 460 | -16.8\% | 0.4\% |
|  |  | WB | 17.8 | 1,033 | 15.8 | 1,034 | 18.2 | 1,031 | -11.7\% | 2.0\% |
|  |  | Cross Road | 8.7 | 1,494 | 7.6 | 1,495 | 8.9 | 1,491 | -13.2\% | 1.5\% |
|  |  | Total | 16.7 | 4,171 | 16.8 | 4,166 | 15.6 | 4,183 | 0.7\% | $\underline{-6.4 \%}$ |
| Network Total |  |  | 20.7 | 12,910 | 22.0 | 12,913 | 20.8 | 12,910 | 6.3\% | 0.6\% |

# Performance for: 70\% volume, 1\% truck, No priority lock 

Direction

## Conclusion

> Decrease HGV travel time.
$>$ Do not increase all vehicle travel time when traffic volume is moderate to high.
$>$ Performance is better when

- traffic volume is less than that of peak hour;
o truck volume is less than one in a cycle;
o priority is not locked.


## Further Study: Potential Improvement

$>$ Gradual change of signal timing over 1-2 cycle.

- Requires early detection and prediction.
- Requires travel time prediction model for roadway sections in which there are multiple intersections.

Predict travel time including intersection delay Use signal time data

